

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 11/05/1999 JAMES H. WICKS 54905USA2A.002 09/434,586 4126 32692 08/26/2003 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY **EXAMINER** PO BOX 33427 REDDING, DAVID A ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427

ART UNIT

1744

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003

PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	,			<u> </u>	
		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary			34,586	WICKS ET AL.	
		Exam	iner	Art Unit	
•			A Redding	1744	<u> </u>
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this comp or Reply	munication appears or	the cover she t wi	th the correspondence addr	ess
A SH THE I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM nsions of time may be available under the proving the province of the provin	UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In r			
If theIf NCFailuAny i	SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this period for reply specified above is less than the period for reply is specified above, the maximume to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three mound and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(irty (30) days, a reply within the um statutory period will apply a reply will, by statute, cause the oths after the mailing date of the	and will expire SIX (6) MON e application to become AB	THS from the mailing date of this com ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status		- <i>n</i>			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Septem</u>	<u>ber 2002</u> .	•	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊡` This actio	n is non-final.		•
3)□	Since this application is in cond closed in accordance with the p				merits is
· ·	on of Claims	anding in the applicat	ion		. :
	Claim(s) <u>8,9 and 11-42</u> is/are po 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>24-27</u>		•		
	Claim(s) <u>8,10,14-17,21 and 22</u> is	*	Consideration.		•
5)⊠ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>11-14,18-20 and 28-41</u>				•
	• •		•		•
7)∐	Claim(s) is/are objected t Claim(s) are subject to re		on requirement		
اسارہ Applicat	on Papers	Striction and/or electric	on requirement.		
	The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner.	•	,	
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 Nover</u>	*] accepted or b)☐ ot	pjected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that an	•		•	
11)	The proposed drawing correction	filed on is: a)[☐ approved b)☐ d	isapproved by the Examiner	
•	If approved, corrected drawings ar	e required in reply to th	is Office action.		* · ·
12)	The oath or declaration is objecte	ed to by the Examiner	•		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				•
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a c	laim for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None	of:		•	
	1. Certified copies of the price	rity documents have.	been received.		•
	2. Certified copies of the price	ority documents have	been received in A	pplication No	
. * (3. Copies of the certified cop application from the Ir See the attached detailed Office a	iternational Bureau (F	PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National S	tage
	Acknowledgment is made of a cla			•	annlication)
а) The translation of the foreign	n language provisiona	al application has be	een received.	
15) L. Attachmen	Acknowledgment is made of a cla	inn for domestic priori	ity uniter 55 U.S.C.	33 120 aliu/01 121.	
-	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	• ,	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	\
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-692) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14			nformal Patent Application (PTO-	

Application/Control Number: 09/434,586

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments filed 9/9/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

2. Claim12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 12 is improperly dependent upon claim 11. Claim 11 defines a markush group as comprising three components. Claim 12, dependent upon claim 11, broadens the same markush group to include twelve components. Claim 12 defines a broad limitation which is dependent upon a narrow limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.



Art Unit: 1744

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 11,12,18-20, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 4,770,853 (Bernstein).

Bernstein discloses a device for performing a rapid immunodiagnostic test for group A streptococci using a phage associated lysin enzyme. Figures 1,5,6 illustrate the embodiment which reads on the claimed invention. Claim 12 specifies that the biological assay reagent can be antibodies or indicator chemistries. The lower portion (10) of the device comprise capture and control membranes (18,19), the capture membranes being coated with **antigen or** antibody or other complementary ligands or receptors and can be used to determine the presence of different antigens or antibodies (col.5, lines 39-47). See the description of figures 1-6 and col.5, line 55 thru col.6, line 36.

5. Claims 11-13,18,19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 5,573,951 (Gombrich et al.).

Gombrich et al. disclose a multi-chambered blood culture assay device in which some of the chambers are separated by a seal which is breached by rotation. The device is disclosed as containing a nutrient growth media which reads on the claimed **nutrients**. Figures 4-8 illustrate the embodiment which includes the rotating seal (col.7, lines 27-52).

6. Claims 11-13,18-20,28-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by USP 6,189,688 B1 (Aneas).

Application/Control Number: 09/434,586

Art Unit: 1744

Figure 2 illustrates the embodiment which reads on the claimed invention. The device comprises at least three chambers. Chamber (9) contains a liquid (2), chamber 10 a powder (3), and the lower end (18) an empty third chamber. Separating chamber 9 and 10 is a rotating seal in the form of a disc (7). The reference suggests that the device can comprise papaverine sulfate and bicarbonate of soda, which are considered to constitute selective agents (col. 1, lines19-67).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 8,9,14-17,21,22, are allowable over the prior art of record.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Conclusion

- 8. This application contains claims 23-27 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 6. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- 9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/434,586

Art Unit: 1744

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A Redding whose telephone number is 703-308-3910. The examiner can normally be reached on M,T,Th,Fr, 7:30-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Warden can be reached on 703-308-2920. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

David A Redding Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

Daniel Redely

D.A.R.